

ALLEN J. RUBY (STATE BAR NO. 47109)  
allen.ruby@skadden.com  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
525 University Avenue, Suite 1100  
Palo Alto, CA 94301  
Telephone: 650-470-4500 / Facsimile: 650-470-4570

FABIO E. MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825)  
fmarino@mwe.com  
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone: 650-815-7400 / Facsimile: 650-815-7401

DENISE MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 135224)  
dmingrone@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone: 650-614-7400 / Facsimile: 650-614-7401

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants  
BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. and  
FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS  
SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and  
FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC, a Delaware  
limited liability company,

Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,  
v.

A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California  
corporation; LEE CHEN, an individual;  
RAJKUMAR JALAN; an individual; RON  
SZETO, an individual; DAVID CHEUNG, an  
individual; LIANG HAN, an individual; and  
STEVEN HWANG, an individual,

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

Case No. 5:10-cv-03428 LHK

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER RE SUBMISSION OF JURY  
INSTRUCTIONS**

Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among Plaintiffs Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. and Foundry Networks, LLC (together, “Brocade”) and Defendant A10 Networks, Inc., Lee Chen, Rajkumar Jalan, Liang Han, Steve Hwang and Ron Szeto (“A10 Defendants”) and Defendant Liang Han (collectively, “the parties”) that:

1. The parties understand that the Court set June 21, 2012, as the deadline for the submission of proposed Jury Instructions during the June 8, 2012, Case Management Conference.

2. The parties have exchanged proposed jury instructions and are in the process of meeting and conferring to identify areas of agreement and disagreement to narrow their disputes. Brocade’s proposed jury instructions are attached hereto as Exhibit A and the jury instructions proposed by the A10 Defendants and Defendant Liang Han are attached hereto as Exhibit B.

3. In order for the parties to have sufficient time to narrow the areas of disagreement requiring resolution by the Court, the parties will submit to the Court a set of agreed-to jury instructions and a set of disputed jury instructions and objections by Tuesday, June 26, 2012.

4. All other case management deadlines set by the Court during the June 8, 2012, Case Management Conference and June 11, 2012, Case Management Order (D.I. 566) are unaffected by this Stipulation.

Dated: June 21, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

/s/ Siddhartha M. Venkatesan

SIDDHARTHA M. VENKATESAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants  
BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.  
and FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC

Dated: June 21, 2012

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

/s/ Scott R. Mosko

SCOTT R. MOSKO  
Attorneys for Defendants  
A10 NETWORKS, INC., LEE CHEN, RAJKUMAR  
JALAN, RON SZETO, AND STEVEN HWANG

1  
2 Dated: June 21, 2012

LOSCH & EHRLICH

3 /s/ Joseph Ehrlich


4 JOSEPH EHRLICH  
Attorneys for Defendant  
5 LIANG HAN

6 *Concurrence in the filing of this document has been*  
7 *obtained from each of the other signatories pursuant to*  
8 *General Order 45(X)(B).*

9  
10 **ORDER**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and request, IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 Dated: June 26, 2012

13   
14 Lucy H. Koh  
15 United States District Judge